

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/038,723 01/02/2002		01/02/2002	Bjarne Roenfeldt Nielsen	5636.210-US	6089
25908	7590	10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
		RTH AMERICA, II	RAO, MANJUNATH N		
500 FIFTH SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YOR		0110	1652		

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/038,723	NIELSEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	-
Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D.	1652	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief								
Before the rining of an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D.	1652						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
· · <u> </u>	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	טאו אוווווואט					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 September 2005</u>. A months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has be 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 	(41.37(a)), or any extension thereo	f (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered I	hecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	insideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	Jeduse					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bei appeal; and/or			the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s).		-	_					
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.	iii de entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>141-167 (as applied to elected species</u> Claim(s) objected to:	only).							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>180,181,203,204,211,220,254 and 244</u>	43 .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 168-179,182-202								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	i <u>ot</u> be entered is necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	` ' '	•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
		Manjunath N. Rao, Primary Examiner	Ph.D.					

Art Unit: 1652

Application/Control Number: 10/038,723

Art Unit: 1652

Advisory Action

Claims 141-240, 242-254 are now currently pending in this application.

Applicant's request for reconsideration filed on 9-21-05 has been considered but <u>NOT</u> <u>ENTERED</u>, as it raises new issues and requires the Examiner to institute new rejection. The amendment has not been entered for the following reasons;

In response to the previous Office action, applicants have amended claim 254 and argue that claims are now in condition for an allowance. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Examiner is aware that applicants elected position 402 as the species for examination and said amino acid remains unchanged. In the previous Office action Examiner indicated that since he could not find any art on the elected species, position 402 (except for the Double patenting rejection below), during his search and in accordance with the species election requirement, he randomly selected the next species for examination, the amino acids in positions 1-19, 21-25 and rejected the same under 35 U.S.C. 102 as anticipated by Allen et al. In response to said rejection applicants argued that Allen et al. does not teach the modification of amino acid 402 as applied to new claim 254 and therefore Allen et al. do not teach the claimed substitutions. In response, Examiner withdrew said rejection and randomly selected the next set of species for examination and rejected the same in the previous Office action and made it final.

In response to the previous final Office action, applicants have now filed the instant amendment in which they have cancelled all species except for amino acid positions 1-19, 21-25 and claim the same in claims 254-260 and 144-167 and argue that since these claims were indicated as allowable, all claims are in condition for allowance.

Art Unit: 1652

Examiner respectfully disagrees. As argued by the applicants previously, claim 254 no longer includes the position 402 as one of the limitations. Therefore, claims 254-260 and 144-167 will have to be again rejected as anticipated by Allen et al. Examiner draws the attention of the applicant to the allowance statement that claims 144-167 are allowed to the extent of the elected species only, which is position 402. Without the inclusion of position 402, claims 254-260 and 144-167 are not in condition of allowance and will have to be rejected again. Since amendment of claim 254 has given rise to this new issue, Examiner has not entered the amendment filed on 9-21-05.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0939. The Examiner can normally be reached on 7.00 a.m. to 3.30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for regular communications and for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652

September 29, 2005